**QCBS ILLUSTRATION**

1. EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (in line with clause 26.0, Section-III of RfP Document):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Bidders Detail** | **Obtained Technical Marks** |
| Bidder 1 | 92 |
| Bidder 2 | 85 |
| Bidder 3 | 55 |
| Bidder 4 | 75 |

2. SHORTLISTING OF BIDDERS IN TECHNICAL EVALUATION (in line with clause 27.0, Section-III of RfP Document)

* Since the minimum Technical Score requirement for further evaluation is 70 out of 100, Bidder 3 is rejected.

3. SELECTION CRITERIA UNDER QCBS METHODOLOGY (in line with clause 27.0, Section-III of RfP Document)

**A. Financial Bid Evaluation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Bidders Detail** | **Financial Bid** |
| Bidder 1 | 1,30,000 |
| Bidder 2 | 1,20,000 |
| Bidder 4 | 1,00,000 |

**B. Weighted Technical Score (ST):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Bidders Detail** | **Weighted Technical Score**  **= (Obtained Technical Marks/ Maximum Technical Marks) x 100** |
| Bidder 1 | (92/92) x 100 =  **100.000** |
| Bidder 2 | (85/92) x 100 =  **92.391** |
| Bidder 4 | (75/92) x 100 =  **81.522** |

**C. Financial Score (SF):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Bidders Detail** | **Financial Score**  **= (Lowest Bid Price/ Bid Price) x 100** |
| Bidder 1 | (1,00,000/1,30,000) x 100 =  **76.923** |
| Bidder 2 | (1,00,000/1,20,000) x 100 =  **83.333** |
| Bidder 4 | (1,00,000/1,00,000) x 100 =  **100.000** |

4. COMBINED SCORE (in line with clause 27.0, Section-III of RfP Document)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Bidders Detail** | **Combined Score**  **= ST x TW + SF x FW** | **Rank** |
| Bidder 1 | (100.000 x 0.70 + 76.923 x 0.30) =  **92.077** | H1 |
| Bidder 2 | (92.391 x 0.70 + 83.333 x 0.30)  =  **89.674** | H2 |
| Bidder 4 | (81.522 x 0.70 + 100 x 0.30)  =  **87.065** | H3 |

TW and FW are weightage assigned to Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal, which shall be **0.70** and **0.30** respectively.

**Bidder 1 with H1 Rank is successful bidder**